

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 452 041

SE 064 561

AUTHOR Langbort, Carol
TITLE Developing Teacher-Leaders in a Master's Degree Program in Mathematics Education.
PUB DATE 2000-00-00
NOTE 8p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Association for Mathematics Teacher Education (Charlotte, NC, February 10-12, 2000). Article was originally published in the Fall 1998 Newsletter of the Mathematicians and Education Reform Forum.
AVAILABLE FROM For full text:
<http://www.ceemas.csupomona.edu/amte/conference/2000/proceedings/index.html>.
PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Elementary Secondary Education; Higher Education; *Instructional Leadership; Masters Degrees; *Mathematics Education; *Preservice Teacher Education; Professional Development; Program Descriptions

ABSTRACT

This paper provides information about the design of a mathematics education degree program. One focus of this program is to increase the mathematical knowledge of teachers. Other focuses of the program include current issues in mathematics education and the development of teacher-leaders. Additional discussions about leadership development in mathematics education and benefits for faculty are also provided. (ASK)

Developing Teacher-Leaders in a Master's Degree Program in Mathematics Education¹

Carol Langbort
San Francisco State University

I am a mathematics educator in the Department of Elementary Education at San Francisco State University. Since the 1970s I have been personal friends and colleagues with mathematics educators in the Mathematics Department. Over the years we have worked together in a variety of ways including professional development grants for elementary and middle school teachers and serving in professional organizations. We have been an important means of collegial support for each other. It was no accident, then, in 1993, when we received a Request for Proposals (RFP) from the Dwight D. Eisenhower Mathematics and Science Education State Grant Program to develop a master's degree program in mathematics education for minority teachers that we were ready and willing to take on this task.

Jose Gutierrez, a professor in the Mathematics Department, and I welcomed this opportunity to write a planning grant for this purpose. Jose has spent most of his career teaching mathematics education courses for undergraduates planning to become elementary teachers. For several years, we had been discussing the possibilities for a joint masters degree program, but it was the RFP that gave us the impetus to move forward.

We had been working with K-8 classroom teachers through the San Francisco Math Leadership Project (one of the California Mathematics Projects since 1984 and knew of the plight of our teachers: they had become interested in mathematics education; they realized that they really could learn and understand mathematics, and, indeed problem solve. Overall, they had a renewed interest and enthusiasm about mathematics learning and teaching. Unfortunately, there was no place for them to go

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS
BEEN GRANTED BY

C. Langbort

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as
received from the person or organization
originating it.

Minor changes have been made to
improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated in this
document do not necessarily represent
official OERI position or policy.

to continue studying in this area. These teachers need to be in an environment where they can work with others, feel free to make mistakes and learn from them; and they need challenging content, which, at the same time, is related to school mathematics. Typically, mathematics departments don't offer the kinds of courses that would be appropriate for these teachers. Another problem is that few courses are offered at hours that classroom teachers can fit into their busy schedules.

Planning and Beginning the Degree Program

We wanted to design a mathematics education degree program would validate the efforts of teachers to improve the mathematics programs in their classrooms and in their schools, and would also give the teachers official recognition of their work in this field. It would encourage them to continue in their studies and add a depth to their knowledge in both the content and the pedagogical issues of the current mathematics reform movement. And so this degree was developed between the Department of Elementary Education and the Mathematics Department with courses from both departments. We utilized some existing courses and designed a few new courses, in particular: *Analyzing Cases of Math Teaching (Ed)*, *Developing Leadership in Mathematics Education (Ed)*, *Assessing Mathematical Thinking (Ed)*, and *Mathematical Investigations: Dissection and Integration of Topics (Math)*. Requirements for the degree would include four courses from the Mathematics Department, four Elementary Education courses, a course in *Research Methods in Education*, and writing a thesis or conducting a field study.

Following the planning grant, we received the full grant to implement this two and one-half year program. As the grant included stipends for teachers, we expected that the recruitment process would be successful. However, we were not prepared for the many, many teachers who attended our information meeting about the program,

and had to quickly change the room to an auditorium to hold the more than 160 teachers who attended. We received 80 completed applications for our first cohort, and selected an ethnically diverse group of 30 students. Going through the sequence of courses as a learning community, the teachers provided an enormous amount of support for one another. The first cohort graduated in May, 1997; the second cohort graduated in May, 2000.

Mathematics, Current Educational Issues, and Developing Teacher-Leaders

One focus of this program is to increase the mathematical knowledge of the teachers. There are four courses in the Mathematics Department: *Geometry, Measurement and Probability; Curriculum and Instruction in Mathematics; Computers and Elementary Mathematics*, and *Mathematical Investigations*. Completing these courses gave the teachers the opportunity to apply for a supplementary authorization in mathematics for middle school teaching.

Another focus of the program is current issues in mathematics education. With the many changes occurring in mathematics education, these teachers need to be on the cutting edge of the current reform movement.

The third focus of the program, development of teacher-leaders, is the most unusual aspect of the program. We need more teacher-leaders, and we need more teacher-leaders of color. We've learned that leadership can take a great variety of forms. Presenting workshops at the school, district, state, and national level is one type of leadership. Writing articles and developing curriculum materials is another type of leadership. Planning conferences for teachers and teachers-to-be, taking leadership positions in math organizations, state councils, and statewide committees, and working with pre-service teachers are additional examples of roles that our graduates have taken. Through this program, teachers have the opportunity to strengthen their

leadership skills in these areas and are encouraged and supported in developing strengths in new areas.

Leadership Development in Mathematics Education

Although the entire program contributes to developing leadership, the course *Leadership Development in Mathematics Education* was designed specifically for the program to focus on leadership issues. It is offered early in the program so that from the beginning the teachers see developing leadership skills as an expectation of the program. The course focuses on three topics: examination of major issues in mathematics education; review and analysis of major documents of the reform movement in mathematics education; and examination of leadership and dissemination practices.

We first focused on issues in mathematics education. Class discussions were built around articles from National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM) Yearbooks on *Multicultural and Gender Equity in the Mathematics Classroom* (1997) and *Teaching and Learning Mathematics in the 90s* (1990). The teachers then selected issues to pursue in greater depth and were expected to present different perspectives of the issue to the class. The teachers also wrote individual papers on a second issue of their choice.

Although the teachers were familiar with some of the major documents of the reform movement, and even had copies of some documents, they had not really looked at them in depth. Some time was spent examining more closely the 1992 *California Mathematics Framework*, NCTM's *Curriculum and Evaluation Standards for School Mathematics* (1989), NCTM's *Professional Standards for Teaching Mathematics* (1991), and *Everybody Counts - A Report to the Nation on the Future of Mathematics Education* (National Research Council, 1989).

We also spent considerable time practicing leadership. Selected articles from the NCTM 1994 Yearbook on *Professional Development for Teachers of Mathematics* were assigned as useful background reading: "Changing Mathematics Teaching Means Changing Ourselves: Implications for Professional Development," by Julian Weissglass and "Ten Key Principles from Research for the Professional Development of Mathematics Teachers," by Doug Clarke. One of the class activities was to develop and get feedback on a workshop to be presented at the teachers' schools. Some students had never before presented a workshop and the support of the group was invaluable. In addition, the grant provided funds for all the teachers to attend the California Math Council's Annual Conference as well as the annual conference of NCTM. We hoped they could envision themselves presenting at these conferences in the future.

Real Life Math Education Activities and Final Project

There was a great deal of mathematics education activity taking place in California during the Fall of 1997, the very semester that the leadership course was taught. The students had an opportunity to hear William Schmidt, Executive Director of the Third International Math and Science Study (TIMSS), talk about the TIMSS results. They also had a chance to attend hearings on the new math standards being developed by the State of California. In fact, a few teachers actually testified at these hearings. For one class assignment the teachers were to submit responses to the draft of the new *Math Framework for California Schools*, which was being developed during the same semester. These real life opportunities for leadership contributed greatly to the teachers' development as teacher-leaders.

The final project, either a field study or thesis, plays an important role in the development of teacher leadership. Several teachers from the first cohort have had opportunities to present their work at local and national conferences. The issues chosen

for study by the teachers represent real world questions that have emerged for them in the course of the program, but also reflect their concerns as full-time teachers. A sampling of titles illustrates the variety of topics: *A Second Grade Spanish Curriculum Unit for Developing Mathematical Language; Ethnicity as a Factor in Assigning Mathematical Competence to Elementary Level Students; Teaching Students to Write About Mathematical Problems; Observation and Analysis of 4th Grade Latino Students' Problem Solving Behaviors Using a videotape Protocol; The Development, Implementation and Evaluation of Family Volunteer Workshops that Promote Math Literacy in Young Children; Using Hyperstudio as an Assessment Tool; A Survey of Teachers' Beliefs About Pre-Requisite Experiences For Student Success in Algebra.*

Benefits for Faculty

Having a grant allowed us to recruit faculty from outside the university, as well as enlist faculty from several departments. There are a total of nine instructors, including five university professors and four faculty from outside the university, two of whom are from the San Francisco Unified School District. A faculty member teaches courses, works with the teachers on their final projects, or both. The nine faculty members serve on the project Advisory Board as well as attend regular meetings to discuss the program and the progress of the students.

Two weekend retreats helped develop the collegiality among faculty and teachers. The first retreat, held at the start of the program, is an opportunity (1) for participants to get to know each other, (2) to prepare the teachers for the program, and (3) to give introductory sessions to the courses for the following semester. At the second retreat, held at the beginning of the intense work period for the final project, teachers write, meet with faculty, and have an opportunity to develop and expand their ideas with a variety of people.

During the Fall 1998 semester we tried something new-- three all-day Saturday meetings for the teachers and faculty as a kick-off to the research methods course to be taught in the spring. Teachers presented their beginning ideas for their final projects and the faculty made suggestions and elaborated on the teachers' ideas. The faculty also benefited greatly from this exchange. One of these Saturday meetings was devoted to a discussion of qualitative research methods. The discussion, based on the transcript of an earlier teacher discussion about a case study, allowed the teachers to study themselves as subjects. The interest level was extremely high and both teachers and faculty learned a great deal about the challenges of doing careful qualitative research.

Undertakings such as designing and running a new program often yields unexpected outcomes. The benefit to the faculty in this project is one of those pleasant surprises.

FOOTNOTE

¹This article was originally published in the Fall 1998 Newsletter of the Mathematicians and Education Reform Forum. *Reprinted with permission.*



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)



(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: *Developing Teacher-Leaders in a Master's Degree Program in Mathematics Education*

Author(s): *Carol Langbart*

Corporate Source:

Publication Date:

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Carol R. Langbart

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

Level 1

Carol R. X Langbart

Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA, FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

Level 2A

X

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

Level 2B

X

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign
here,
please

Signature: <i>Carol R. Langbart</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>Carol R. Langbart, Professor</i>	
Organization/Address: <i>San Francisco State University</i>	Telephone: <i>415-338-1584</i>	FAX: <i>415-338-0567</i>
<i>1600 Holloway Avenue</i>	E-Mail Address: <i>clangbart@sf.edu</i>	Date: <i>May 23, 2001</i>
<i>San Francisco, CA 94132</i>		